

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/670,101	/670,101 09/24/2003		James Matthew Murduck	12-1054	8150
27160	7590	12/28/2004		EXAMINER	
KATTEN I	MUCHIN	ZAVIS ROSENI	WOJCIECHOWICZ, EDWARD JOSEPH		
525 WEST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	CHICAGO, IL 60661-3693			2815	

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/670,101	MURDUCK ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Edward Wojciechowicz	2815					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from . cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 19-30 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-30</u> is/are rejected.	i)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>Υ-λ4-</u> 03	6)						

Application/Control Number: 10/670,101

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 19, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Zagoskin et al. The reference to Zagoskin teaches the basic structure of an aluminum oxide layer which is sandwiched between two aluminum layers. See, for example, the discussion at [0084]. With regard to claims 23 and 24, Zagoskin also teaches that the aluminum layers may be formed at thicknesses greater than 30 nm, thus inherently meeting these claim limitations. See the discussion at [0073].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 20-22, and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zagoskin in view of applicant's admitted prior art on page 9 of the specification which incorporates IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, Vol.18, No. 8, January 1978, pgs. 2645, by reference. As taught in the IBM bulletin, it is also known in the art to form the device described in claim 23 by doping the aluminum layers with "paramagnetic impurities" or oxygen or nitrogen, as claimed. One would be motivated to combine this teaching with Zagoskin so as to lower the critical temperature of the superconducting conductors as described in the IBM bulletin.

Application/Control Number: 10/670,101 Page 3

Art Unit: 2815

Claims 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zagoskin in view of Kwon. Kwon also teaches the formation of a device similar to that described in claim 23, and further states that sandwich structures of aluminum/ aluminum oxide/ and either of Ti, Mo or niobium nitride are possible. See the discussion at [0065]. One would be motivated to combine this teaching with Zagoskin so as to achieve the advantages of the batch deposition and in-situ cleaning described by Kwon.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Wojciechowicz whose telephone number is 571-272-1739. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edward Wojciechowicz Primary Examiner Art Unit 2815

EW: ew